### Introduction to DSLs





### What is a Domain Specific Language in scientific computing ?





### Matrix transpose in different languages

matlab

С

```
for(int i=0; i < n; ++i) {
    for(int j=0; j < n; ++j) {
        double z=m[i][j];
        m(i,j)=m[j][i];
        m[j][i]=z;
    }
}</pre>
```

### Matrix transpose in different languages

matlab

A=B.'

С

for(int i=0; i < n; ++i) {
 for(int j=0; j < n; ++j) {
 double z=m[i][j];
 m(i,j)=m[j][i];
 m[j][i]=z;
 }
}</pre>

### Matrix transpose in different languages

С

matlab

A=B.'

Domain specific language:

domain = matrix operations Semantic information: matrix transpose

Concise syntax for a problem Abstracts implementation and hardware dependent details:

- OMP parallelization
- ✓ GPU cuda implementation
- linear algebra calls (blas, mkl,...)

for(int i=0; i < n; ++i) {
 for(int j=0; j < n; ++j) {
 double z=m[i][j];
 m(i,j)=m[j][i];
 m[j][i]=z;</pre>

General purpose, it can solve any problem Semantic information: double nested loop modifying matrix with self-dependencies

#### DSLs for weather and climate models



- Concise language for solving weather problems
- High scientific productivity
  - Leverage **high-level semantic** of the problem to apply **domain specific optimizations**.

## From math to implementation:

### $gradf = \nabla f$





# From math to implementation:

### $gradf = \nabla f$

```
#ifdef OMP
!$OMP ....
#else
!SACC ....
#endif
DO jb = i startblk, i endblk
CALL get indices e(ptr patch, ...)
#ifdef LOOP EXCHANGE
 DO je = i startidx, i endidx
  DO ik = slev, elev
 #else
  DO jk = slev, elev
    DO je = i startidx, i endidx
 #endif
    grad norm psi e(je,jk,jb) = &
       (psi c(iidx(je,jb,2),jk,iblk(je,jb,2)) -
        psi c(iidx(je,jb,1),jk,iblk(je,jb,1)) )
      / ptr patch%edges%lhat(je,jb)
   ENDDO
 END DO
END DO
#ifdef OMP
!$OMP ...
#else
!$ACC ...
```

#endif







CALL halo\_exchange(psi\_c, start\_prog\_area, start\_prog\_area+1)

# From math to implementation:

### $gradf = \nabla f$

```
#ifdef OMP
!$OMP ....
#else
ISACC
#endif
DO jb = i startblk, i endblk
CALL get indices e(ptr patch, ...)
#ifdef LOOP EXCHANGE
 DO je = i startidx, i endidx
  DO ik = slev, elev
 #else
  DO ik = slev, elev
    DO je = i startidx, i endidx
 #endif
    grad norm psi e(je,jk,jb) = &
       ( psi c(iidx(je,jb,2),jk,iblk(je,jb,2)) -
        psi c(iidx(je,jb,1),jk,iblk(je,jb,1)) )
      / ptr patch%edges%lhat(je,jb)
   ENDDO
 END DO
END DO
#ifdef OMP
!$OMP ...
#else
!$ACC ...
```









Separation of concerns decompose the implementation layer adding a simple interface to user (DSL syntax)



W. Deconinck (ECMWF)

How do we separate science from complex model implementations for exascale computers ?

```
#ifdef OMP
!$OMP ....
#else
ISACC
#endif
DO jb = i startblk, i endblk
CALL get indices e(ptr patch, ...)
 #ifdef LOOP EXCHANGE
 DO je = i startidx, i endidx
   DO ik = slev, elev
 #else
   DO ik = slev, elev
     DO je = i startidx, i endidx
 #endif
     grad norm psi e(je,jk,jb) = &
       ( psi c(iidx(je,jb,2),jk,iblk(je,jb,2)) -
        psi c(iidx(je,jb,1),jk,iblk(je,jb,1)) )
       / ptr patch%edges%lhat(je,jb)
   ENDDO
 END DO
END DO
#ifdef OMP
!$OMP ...
#else
!$ACC ...
#endif
```





# Option 1: rely on compilers

Caveat: unrealistic option





### Option 2: use general purpose programming models



Caveat: provides portability, but still complex and low level implementations





# Option 3: DSLs for weather and climate



Caveat: community needs to agree on DSL languages and develop compilers







### Types of DSLs...





### **Types of DSLs**



High abstractions, provide a new syntax with keyword only for supported concepts. Eliminate all unnecessary language elements: for/do loops, memory patterns, indices of an iteration space, parallelization

```
grad_norm_psi_e =
    reduce( psi_c,
        CELL > EDGE,
        [1/lhat, -1/lhat]
)
```





### **Types of DSLs**



Low abstractions, support existing programming languages but limit the functionality supported. Operates on naive implementations of general purpose languages. Requires Implement a DSL compiler that parses, interprets computational patterns, and produces optimized code.

```
! Horizontal tracer gradient
D0 jk = 1, jpkm1
D0 jj = 1, jpjm1
D0 ji = 1, jpim1 ! vector opt.
    zdit(ji,jj,jk) = ( ptb(ji+1,jj ,jk,jn) - ptb(ji,jj,jk,jn) ) * umask(ji,jj,jk)
    zdjt(ji,jj,jk) = ( ptb(ji ,jj+1,jk,jn) - ptb(ji,jj,jk,jn) ) * vmask(ji,jj,jk)
    END D0
END D0
END D0
END D0
```





### **Types of DSLs**



Dusk /dawn-> Python DSL languagePSyclone-> Fortran DSL language



**PSyclone** -> Fortran





### GridTools: Early examples

- In production at MeteoSwiss since 2016 for COSMO dynamical core.
- First operational case successfully using DSLs for dynamical core.
- Low level C++ syntax.
- Requires an HPC/C++ expert to develop model using DSL.

### GridTools DSL example (in production)

Syntax for a stencil

```
struct Laplace{
    typedef in accessor<0, extent<-1,1,-1,1> > u;
    typedef out accessor<1> lap;
```

```
template <typename Evaluation>
static void Do(Evaluation eval, domain)
```

```
t
eval(lap()) = eval(-4*u() + u(i+1) + u(i-1) + u(j+1) + u(j-1));
```

#### Composing stencils









### dusk/dawn: towards new generation Python DSLs





SOMP END PARALLEL







### Existing code & DSLs

#### Evolution rather than Revolution

- Although DSLs are very powerful, an application must be re-written in order to use them
- Applications in the weather/climate domain are large and under continuous development
- DSLs are relatively new and untested in this domain
  - Concerns over longevity of necessary tool chains
- To stop development on existing code and re-develop from scratch is expensive (time and effort)
- Community has a lot of skill and knowledge in existing coding approaches (Fortran)

Very attractive to be able to *translate* existing code into a DSL or use existing code in a DSL rather than re-write:

- Support science that cannot be specified in the DSL language
- Transition to high level DSLs by evolution not revolution
- Support code generation and translation

Need to regain lost information







#### Levels of abstraction













### Summary

- Modelling required expertise in multiple disciplines (co-design)
- These disciplines work at different levels of abstraction
- Mixing science and performance can produce complex code
- Good to separate these concerns
- DSLs offer a way to do this
- DSLs support working at a high level of abstraction
- Higher level of abstraction allows a greater choice of implementation -> more performance
- Different DSLs can work at different levels of abstraction
- DSLs might support revolution and/or evolution



