

Data-Centric IO: Potential for Climate/Weather



Limitless Storage
Limitless Possibilities

<https://hps.vi4io.org>

Julian M. Kunkel, Luciana Pedro, Bryan Lawrence, Glenn Greed,
David Matthews, Hua Huang


6th ENES HPC Workshop

2020-05-29

Climate/Weather Workflows



- A workflow consists of many steps
 - ▶ Repeated for simulation time
 - ▶ E.g., weather for 14 days
- Scientists use **Cylc** to handle such **cycling** workflows
- Cylc workflow specifies
 - ▶ Tasks with commands
 - ▶ Environment variables
 - ▶ Dependencies




```

[cyc]
cycle point format = %Y-%m
[scheduling]
initial cycle point = 2025-01
[[dependencies]]
[[[!&*/]]]
[[[!&*/]]]
graph = "model => post & ver
model[-P2H] => model
post => prod"
[[[!&*/]]]
graph = "ver & prod[-P2H] => check"
[[[!&*/]]]
[runtime]
[[[setenv]]]
# ...
# ...
    
```

Workflow Execution




- 1 Cylc analyzes workflow
 - ▶ Creates a job script for each task
 - ▶ Submits to workload manager
- 2 Wflow manager allocates resources
 - ▶ Starts a job with env. vars
- 3 Job script runs applications
 - ▶ File names set by
 - env. var
 - command
 - ▶ May depend on cycle




- The data dependency between tasks is currently stored implicitly

Execution Environment



I/O path for an MPI-parallel application. HDF5 can be replaced with ESDM.



Example of an heterogeneous HPC landscape

Earth-System Data Middleware



- Part of the ESiWACE Center of Excellence in H2020
 - ▶ Centre of Excellence in Simulation of Weather and Climate in Europe
- <https://www.esiwace.eu>
- Integrated as NetCDF backend

ESDM provides a transitional approach towards a vision for I/O addressing

- Scalable data management practice
- The inhomogeneous storage stack
- Suboptimal performance and performance portability
- Data conversion/merging

EU funded Project: ESiWACE



The Centre of Excellence in Simulation of Weather and Climate in Europe

- Representing the European community for
 - ▶ Climate modelling and numerical weather simulation
- Goals in respect to HPC environments:
 - ▶ Improve efficiency and productivity
 - ▶ Supporting the end-to-end workflow of global Earth system modelling
 - ▶ Establish demonstrator simulations that run at highest affordable resolution
- Funding via the European Union's Horizon 2020 program (grant #823988)

<http://esiwace.eu>



esiwace

CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN SIMULATION OF WEATHER
AND CLIMATE IN EUROPE

Data Center Perspective: Utilization of HPC Resources



Projects run in Data Centers

- Proposals may include: Time needed, CPU (GPU) hours, storage space
- After resources are granted scientists basically do what they want
 - ▶ Some limitations, e.g., quota, compute limit
 - ▶ But actual usage and access patterns?
 - ▶ The system is not aware what possibly could happen
 - ▶ The data center does not know sufficiently what users do
- Additionally: Execution uses often tools with 40year old concepts

Projects executed in Cern/LHC and other big experiments

- A detailed planning of activities is performed
- Experiments are proposed with detailed plans (time, resource utilization)

Outline



1 Motivation

2 Vision

3 Design

4 Summary

Planning HPC Resources: An Alternative Universe




- Scientists deliver
 - ▶ detailed but abstract workflow orchestration
 - ▶ containers with all software
 - ▶ data management plan with data lifecycle
 - ▶ time constraints and budget
- Data centers and vendors
 - ▶ Simulate the execution before workflow is executed
 - ▶ Estimate costs, energy consumption
 - ▶ Determine if it is the best option to run
- Systems
 - ▶ Utilize the information to orchestrate I/O AND computation
 - ▶ Make decisions about data location and placement:
 - Trade compute vs. storage and energy/costs vs. runtime
 - ▶ Ensure proper execution
- Provoking: Big data technology is ahead of HPC in such an agenda

Vision: Exploit Workflow Knowledge



- Enhance workflow description with IO characteristics
 - ▶ Needed input
 - ▶ Generated output and its characteristics
 - ▶ Information Lifecycle (data life)
 - How long to keep data, type of data...
- ⇒ Explicit input/output definition (dependencies) instead of implicit
- Smarter IO scheduling
 - ▶ Considering the hardware/software environment
 - ▶ Data placement: Transfer, migration, staging, replication, allocation
 - ▶ Data reduction: data compression and data recomputation
- ⇒ Providing a separation of concern
 - ▶ Scientist declares workflow including IO
 - ▶ System maps workflow to hardware using expert knowledge and ML

Extended Workflow Description



■ Enhance workflow description with IO characteristics

- ▶ Input required
- ▶ Output generated and its characteristics


Smarter IO Scheduling: Advantage for Data Placement




Scenario

- Consider three file systems: local, scratch, and work
 - ▶ Local is a compute-node local storage system
- Data can be stored on any of these storage systems
- Scheduler to optimize data placement throughout life cycle to hardware


Alternative life cycles for mapping a dataset (Selection)



Local and work file systems



Scratch file system only



Local and work file systems

Allocation, **M**igration, **R**eading, and **D**eleting

Outline



1 Motivation

2 Vision

3 Design

4 Summary

Configuring System Information



- Reuse the Earth-System Data Middleware (ESDM) configuration file
 - ▶ Contains available storage targets, performance model, further information

```
"backends": [  
  {"type": "POSIX", "id": "work1", "target": "/work/lustre01/projectX/",  
    "performance-model": {"latency" : 0.00001, "throughput" : 500000.0},  
    "max-threads-per-node" : 8,  
    "max-fragment-size" : 104857600,  
    "max-global-threads" : 200,  
    "accessibility" : "global"  
  },  
  {"type": "POSIX", "id": "work2", "target": "/work/lustre02/projectX/",  
    "performance-model": {"latency" : 0.00001, "throughput" : 200000.0},  
    "max-threads-per-node" : 8,  
    "max-fragment-size" : 104857600,  
    "max-global-threads" : 200,  
    "accessibility" : "global"  
  },  
  {"type": "POSIX", "id": "tmp", "target": "/tmp/esdm/",  
    "performance-model": {"latency" : 0.00001, "throughput" : 200.0},  
    "max-threads-per-node" : 0,  
    "max-fragment-size" : 10485760,  
    "max-global-threads" : 0,  
    "accessibility" : "local"  
  }  
] ...
```

Extending Workflow Description



- Additional IO workflow file (later to be integrated)
- EIOS knows workflow from Cylc and reads this file

[Task 1]

```
[[inputs]]
topography = "/pool/input/app/config/topography.dat"
checkpoint = "[Task 1].checkpoint$(CYCLE - 1)"
init       = "/pool/input/app/config/init.dat"
```

```
[[outputs]]
```

```
[[[varA]]] # This is the name of the variable
pattern = 1 day
lifetime = 5 years
type = product
datatype = float
size = 100 GB
precision.absolute_tolerance = 0.1
```

```
[[[checkpoint]]]
```

```
pattern = $(CYCLE)
lifetime = 7 days
type = checkpoint
datatype = float
dimension = (100,100,100,50)
```

Smarter I/O Scheduler



- Provides hints for colocating tasks with data
 - ▶ Create dummy file name to include schedule (e.g., prefer local storage)
 - ▶ ESDM parses the schedule information and enacts it (if possible)
- Optimizing data placement strategy in ESDM/workflow scheduler
 - ▶ Utilizing hints for IME to pin data to cache
 - ▶ Storing data locally between depending tasks (using modified Slurm)
 - ▶ Optimizing initial data allocation (e.g., alternating storage between cycles)

These changes are planned as part of the ESiWACE project

- Relevant for climate/weather applications and achievable now
- Considered to be intermediate and leading towards the vision

Summary and Conclusions



Goals of our vision and design

- Separation of concerns between developer/user and system optimization
- Scientists enhances workflow descriptions with IO characteristics
- System exploits workflow specification considering system characteristics

Outlook: Opportunities Knowing Workflows

- Performance modelling (simulation or via. recorded behavior)
 - ▶ Imagine to include compute model, too
 - ▶ Analyse: How long will the workflow run, costs to run it on a given platform?
 - ▶ What if analysis: How to change the system / storage to improve performance?
- Data centers may require submission of workflow descriptions for proposals
 - ▶ Data center could predict benefit, costs, explore how to run it optimally
 - ▶ May hand over to vendors, explore signposting to alternative systems